Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	mary	10/617,849	ADEDEJI ET AL.
Zammer initiated interview duminary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711
All Participants:		Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Jeffrey C. Mullis</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Buchananl</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 December 2003</u>		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		~	
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: NONE			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
Jeffrey Mullis, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Group 1200			
(Examiner/SRE Signature)	(Applicant/A	pplicant's Representative Sig	naturo – if opproprieta
,	(, Application	philoditis itchieselitative Sig	патите – п арргоргіате)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicants' attorney to request that claim 18 be amended to recite a process since it was the position of the examiner that it was not clear if the product made by the prior art was different than that of applicants even though made by a different process.